## Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:		d Young - of Claims and ual Report 2016-			
Report No:	PAS/SE/18/006				
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	31 January 2018			
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk				
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk				
Purpose of report:	To update members on the outcome of the annual certification of grant claims by Ernst and Young (our external auditors) as detailed in their Certification of claims and returns annual report 2016/2017, attached at Appendix A.				
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee:  Members are asked to <u>note</u> the Certification of claims and returns annual report 2016-2017.				

Key Decision:		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which				
(Charletha annuanyiata		definition?				
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those		Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\square$				
that <b>do not</b> apply.)	No, it is	No, it is not a Key Decision - $\boxtimes$				
		1				
Consultation: • N/A		, .				
		• N/A	4			
Implications:						
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications?			Yes ⊠ No □			
If yes, please give details			The report includes the final fee for			
				the 2016/2017		
			grant claims (	E22,583).		
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details			•			
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If			Yes □ No ⊠			
yes, please give details			•			
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b>			Yes ⊠ No □			
implications? If yes,	please give	1	<ul> <li>External audit is a statutory</li> </ul>			
details			function.			
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications?			Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details		•				
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	Inherent le	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after		
	risk (before			controls)		
	controls)	I Ii ala ¥		Low/Modium/High*		
Errors identified as a	Low/Medium/ Mediun		Perform early	Low/Medium/ High* Low		
result of 2015/2016	Mediai		extended testing in	LOW		
testing may have			_			
been replicated in			those areas where			
			errors were			
2016/2017			errors were identified			
			errors were			
2016/2017			errors were identified			
Ward(s) affected:	rs:	to be	errors were identified N/A			
2016/2017 Ward(s) affected: Background pape	r <b>s:</b> apers are		errors were identified N/A			
Ward(s) affected:  Background paper (all background published on the wincluded)	r <b>s:</b> apers are website and		errors were identified N/A None			
Ward(s) affected:  Background pape (all background p published on the v	r <b>s:</b> apers are website and		errors were identified  N/A  None  Appendix A -			
Ward(s) affected:  Background paper (all background published on the wincluded)	r <b>s:</b> apers are website and		errors were identified  N/A  None  Appendix A – Certification of	claims and returns		
Ward(s) affected:  Background paper (all background published on the wincluded)	r <b>s:</b> apers are website and		errors were identified  N/A  None  Appendix A -	claims and returns		

## 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 Ernst and Young (EY) has issued at **Appendix A**, an annual Report on the Certification of Claims and Returns for 2016/2017. This report summarises the results of the certification work that has been undertaken by EY staff as part of the annual audit of grant claims to government departments and sets out the scale fee for carrying out this work.
- 1.2 Funding from government departments is an important income stream for the Council which has to put in place procedures to ensure that it has met the conditions attached to the funding and, in some cases, must complete returns providing financial information to support the claims. The government departments may require appropriately qualified auditors to certify the claims.
- 1.3 From 1 April 2015, the duty to make arrangements for the certification of relevant claims and returns, and to prescribe scales of fees for this work, was delegated to the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.
- 1.4 For 2016-2017, these arrangements required only the certification of the housing benefits subsidy claim with a total value of £28.532 million.
- 1.5 The certification work found errors on the claim and these errors have been corrected by officers. A qualification letter setting out further errors and uncertainties was also reported to the Department for Works and Pensions (DWP). The relevant officers of the Council and Anglia Revenues Partnership (ARP) have agreed the qualifications in respect of the 2016/2017 certification work, as detailed in **Appendix A**. ARP has taken action to address the issues for 2017/2018.
- 1.6 In addition, the PSAA determine a scale fee each year for the audit of claims and returns. For 2016/17, the indicative scale fee for the audit of the Housing benefits subsidy claim was £22,583 and the actual fee will be unchanged from this level. For 2017/18, the indicative scale fee has been set at £24,722.
- 1.7 EY have made no recommendations to Members as a result of the audit.